

## REMOVAL.

We have REMOVED to our New Store.

In Pike's Opera-House Building, And are now prepared to wait upon friends and customers with the largest and most complete assortment of

## CARPETING

In the city. We are in receipt of our Spring importations of

ENGLISH CARPETING,

Embracing all the richest designs and most popular patterns brought to this country, to which we invite special attention.

RINGWALT & AVERY,  
No. 69 West Fourth street.

## BUY YOUR RIBBONS

At No. 30 West Fourth Street.

N IMMENSE STOCK  
JUST OPENED.

J. LeBOULLIER & BROS.

## THE PRESS.

CINCINNATI, SATURDAY, APRIL 9.

\*CORRESPONDENCE, containing important news, solicited from any quarter of the world.

NO NOTICE taken of anonymous correspondence. We do not return rejected communications.

### Amusements This Evening.

NEW NATIONAL THEATRE—Scamors street, between Third and Fourth—Extremes Meet; or, Men of the Day.  
WOODS THEATRE—Corner Sixth and Vine sts.—The Celebrated and Original Buckle Serenades and Patriotic Burlesque Opera Troupe.  
PIKE'S OPERA HOUSE—Fourth street, between Vine and Walnut—Strakosch's Italian Opera Company.  
GREAT WESTERN MUSEUM—Corner Third and Sycamore.

### The Police Law.

Police matters do not seem to go along with quite as much smoothness under the new law as was anticipated by its originators. One set of Police Commissioners, after having their pedigrees all neatly written out in the Enquirer, actually lost heart, turned tail upon their new honors, and ingloriously fled; or, to use a mercantile phrase, went to protest, to the dishonor of their indorser, the newspaper prodigy aforesaid. Attempting to inaugurate a new Board, the Mayor—an essential element in the appointing power—suddenly finds a faintness coming over him; his knees give way, and his feelings refuse to permit him to go any farther. The Police Judge, also—although he consents to act with the Auditor, and make the new appointments—betrays signs of a thaw, and talks about acting only for the purpose of preparing the way for a fair trial of the constitutionality of the obnoxious provisions. The particular frame of mind in which the Auditor found himself, in the emergency, has not, so far as we know, transpired.

Until time has been allowed for the new appointees to consider upon it, and determine whether or not they will serve the public in the prescribed capacity, it would, perhaps, be premature to announce that the millennium has arrived. The world has been, several times, a little too fast upon that point, and it would be injudicious to lay the foundation of a serious inconvenience in case the signs of the times are not followed by the desired fruition. But really things do look like it, and so far as paying honest debts, doing general justice, and attending to the demands of charity are concerned, it would not be unwise for such of our fellow-citizens as love to be on the safe side, to set their houses in order.

As under the provisions of section seven of the bill, the present Police system continues in existence until the new one is organized by the Commissioners; all that is required to make the law a dead letter is to let it alone, and go on in the old way. The law of 1852, and its amendments, are superseded, not repealed, and in whatever mode the new law goes out—whether by non-user, or by being declared unconstitutional—the effect is substantially the same. There is no lapse of city government consequent upon any style of disposition that may be selected; and, Commissioners or no Commissioners, Charley will still perambulate, beating his nightly tattoo upon curb-stones and lamp-posts, and rectifying his usual allowance of lager and other mild and refreshing beverages.

### Criminal Jurisprudence—The Sickles Case.

A few weeks ago, a man, in broad daylight, upon a public thoroughfare in the Capital City of the Union, and in the immediate presence of several persons, killed another, by shooting him with a pistol. The death occurred immediately, and of the identity of the parties, the fact of the shooting, and the occurrence of all the circumstances necessary to constitute an act of willful homicide, there is no doubt—not even a denial on the part of the manslayer himself. This man is now on trial for the act which he committed; several days were required to collect and impanel a jury for the purpose, and it is estimated that, according to the present indications, the trial will occupy at least two weeks.

Had the parties to this tragedy been a couple of servants, tradesmen, market-gardeners or members of some other useful calling, two days would have been amply sufficient to determine the fate of the defendant, and two hours to impanel a jury for the purpose; and yet, in

point of actual importance in the sight of God, or in the scale of humanity, where is the difference? Is not homicide the same thing, by whomever it may be committed? Neither of these men were particularly estimable. What becomes of the defendant, is not a matter of much importance to any body but himself; then why all this extra parade, ceremony, pretense of deliberation and display of the amenities of judicial proceeding? The answer is in one word—SNOBBERY. Codfishdom claims the event as its own. The parties were by birth and position the possessors of its franchises: it has taken them under its especial care, and luxuriates in the newspaper immortality which will inure to it through the exhibition of the common prurency.

The criminal jurisprudence of the United States everywhere needs a radical reform. Now the first qualification of a juror is that he should be an idiot—a thing without intelligence and without curiosity—one who knows nothing that transpires outside his own shop, house, or plantation. Juries are impaneled as if it was the intention of the Judge to exclude every particle of common sense from the array. Trials are conducted as if His Honor and the counsel upon both sides had a corps of telegraph and newspaper reporters at their elbows, and as if the first duty of each was to shine as much, and keep himself before the eyes of the public as long as possible.

The thing to be done, is to acquit the defendant in the face of the law and the evidence. The questions whether he was justifiable in a moral point of view for what he did, and whether he is guilty of a crime defined by the law, are two different things. If men who kill the lovers of their wives are not deserving of punishment, let the Legislature say so, and juries find their verdicts accordingly. Now, in all probability, the jury in this case will say "not guilty;" and yet there will be proved against the defendant all the circumstances necessary, in the eye of the law, to constitute the offense for the commission of which he stands indicted. Therefore the verdict of not guilty will be reached by the way of that which looks too much like perjury to be attractive. This, for the sake of public morality and the respect due to the obligation of an oath, should not be.

A COLORED MRS. HAYES.—A colored woman, of Lexington, Ky., claims, like Mrs. Hayes, whose humbugging was so recently exposed, to live without eating. She is a slave, belonging in every good merchant by the name of Johnson, of Lexington. She says that she has not eaten any food for the past eight years. She has been in Mr. Johnson's family near three years; nor has any of his family have ever yet detected her in taking any food whatever, though they have watched her at all times, and in every way they could think of. The man whom Mr. Johnson bought her, declared that he sincerely believed that she did not eat any food, and said that he had once confined her for some weeks to ascertain whether she did or did not really eat, and during that time he could not detect her eating any thing whatever. She says herself that she does not eat. She drinks water freely, but nothing else. She is stout and in good health, never complains of being sick, and, also, is a professed and practical Christian.—*Louisville Courier.*

[The case reminds us of a remark one of the ancients was in the habit of making when his tipping on complained of want of appetite: "The child that sucks can't eat."]

ANOTHER BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS TO BE CREATED.—The present Mayor, Mr. Thomas, yesterday morning addressed notes (the following being a copy) to Auditor S. S. McGibbons, and the incoming Judge of Police Court, Mr. D. P. Lowe:

SIR—You are hereby notified to meet at the Mayor's office this morning, at eleven o'clock, to consider the acceptance of the resignation of Messrs. Hulbert, Unsicker, Rule and Marsh, as Police Commissioners, appointed under the act passed March 14, 1859.

Yours, respectfully,  
N. W. THOMAS, Mayor.

Mr. McGibbons responded to the notification, by positively declining to take any part in the proceedings, and addressed the Mayor the following letter:

CITY AUDITOR'S OFFICE, Cincinnati, April 8, 1859.—SIR, Your note of this date has just been received, notifying me to meet in your office, at eleven o'clock this day, to consider the acceptance of the resignations of Messrs. Hulbert, Unsicker, Rule and Marsh, as Police Commissioners, appointed under the act of March 14, 1858. In answer, I would state that, at a meeting held in your office, on yesterday morning, at 9½ o'clock, the Judge of the Police Court and the City Auditor (with whom you refused to act), being a majority of those authorized to make these appointments and fill vacancies, after organizing, and receiving the resignations aforesaid from your Honor, on motion, accepted said resignations and filled the vacancies by the appointment of Messrs. Geo. Hatch, C. West, C. C. Eargant and F. Berneford. I, therefore, decline to take part in your meeting, wherein it is contemplated to accept the resignations of said Commissioners a second time, and to appoint another Board of Police Commissioners.

Yours, respectfully,  
SAM. S. MCGIBBONS, City Auditor.

Messrs. Thomas and Lowe met at eleven o'clock and organized, the former being appointed Chairman and the latter Secretary.

A resolution was then adopted accepting the resignations of Messrs. Hulbert, Unsicker, Rule and Marsh, and the Board adjourned, subject to the call of the Mayor.

CORRECTION.—There is no reason to believe that the late Mr. John Hatfield, whose body was found in Millcreek near Ernst's Bridge, a few days ago, committed suicide. His death resulted from undertaking to cross the creek after dark Saturday night, at Hopple's Ford, when he fell into the stream and was drowned. It affords us pleasure to make this correction.

We are informed that a meeting of the Mechanics' and Manufacturers' Exchange will be held this evening (Saturday) at the usual place. Business of importance will be transacted, and a full attendance is expected. The Exchange will open on Monday next.

JOE BALZER, a watchman *pro tem.* in the Thirtieth Ward, was struck with a bowlder night before last and severely injured. He was arrested a drunken man, and who threw the bowlder remains a mystery.

## LAW REPORT.

### COMMON PLEAS.

APPLICATION for divorce.—Julia A. Smith vs. John A. Smith. The plaintiff, to obtain a divorce, alleged habitual drunkenness, gross neglect of duty, and extreme cruelty. Several witnesses were called, and the case was argued by counsel. It was stated that the respondent had squandered a large fortune, and that the plaintiff was left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. LONG for petitioner.—We trust on the Court's decision, there will be allowed, granting divorce and giving the custody of the children to the petitioner, with priority to the father in the matter of all reasonable claims. The rights of the petitioner in a house and lot on Walnut street, decided by the court, and the decree was entered. Mr. Kirby represented the respondent.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

### SUPERIOR COURT.

DOOLITTLE vs. Steele, and same vs. Stoddard. It being intimated to the Court that there seemed to be some misunderstanding in relation to the extent of its ruling in disposing of the demurrers in these cases, Judge Storer said that none of the questions had been decided in the case beyond holding that the declarations were sufficient to put the parties to their answers. The case was then continued.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

MR. KELLEY for respondent.—The respondent, Margaret Benedict, vs. John A. Smith. Decree granted on the ground of gross neglect of duty. The court found that the respondent had been guilty of habitual intoxication, and that the plaintiff had been left a pauper. The court granted the divorce, and awarded custody of the children to the plaintiff.

## SPECIAL NOTICES.

MR. SPIRITUALISM.—THE HON. WARREN CHASE, an able and eloquent exponent of Spiritualism, will lecture in National Hall, on FRIDAY MORNING, at 11 o'clock, and at 7 P.M. THE EVENING. One dime admission to Evening Lecture.

MR. S. H. PARVIN, ADVERTISING and Collecting Agent, No. 80 West Fourth street.

MR. THE PAPERS OUT FOR THIS week, and for sale at HUTCHINSON'S, Vine street, above the Gazette office, are Stars and Stripes, New York Mercury and Weekly, Flag, Patriot, and Glasgow's Line of Battle Ship.

## NEW ADVERTISEMENTS.

MR. OUR FOUR-DOLLAR SILK HAT, of the new shape, is attracting much attention. For style and quality we think it has never been equaled at the price.

DODD & CO.,  
Fashionable Hatters,  
114 Main St., below Fourth.

BY COOPER & STOKES,  
GREAT SALE  
—OF—  
REAL ESTATE.

THIRTY-SIX LOTS OF LAND

Belonging to the Estate of  
DAVID LURING, DECEASED.

On Tuesday Afternoon, April 12.

WILL BE SOLD AT PUBLIC AUCTION,  
on Tuesday Afternoon, April 12, at two o'clock, on the premises:

16 Lots of Land, on the west side of Freeman street, each Lot 25 feet front and 100 feet deep, and 100 feet deep to Van Horn street.

5 Lots on the north side of Seventh street, west of Freeman street, each Lot 25 feet front and 100 feet deep to Van Horn street.

5 Lots on the south side of Seventh street, west of Freeman street, each Lot 25 feet front and 100 feet deep to Van Horn street.

5 Lots on the north side of Barr street, west of Freeman street, each Lot 25 feet front and 100 feet deep to Van Horn street.

Terms of Sale—One-fourth cash; balance in 1, 2 and 3 years, with 6 per cent. interest, secured by mortgage on the premises.

Plats of the property can be had of Mr. John Carlin, room No. 5, second story, in Carlin Building, Fourth street, or of

COOPER & STOKES, Auctioneers,  
14 East Fourth street.

## V. M. M. L. ASSOCIATION.

Pike's Opera House.

## REV. H. W. BELLOWES.

"The Fallacies of Freemen."

WEDNESDAY EVENING, APRIL 20.

This will not occur

ENGRAVINGS,  
Paintings, Artist Materials, and

LITHOGRAPHS,  
For Grecian Paintings, at 0

Less than Cost,  
To close the retail business.

"Little Samuel,"  
Fine Steel Plate \$75; usual price \$50.

Fine Chromo's;  
A large stock just received.

Stereoscopes,  
And Groups; finest 40 to 50c.

Two Dollars  
For Box and One Dozen Views.

Artist Materials  
At Reduced Prices.

Persons wishing any particular Print not on hand, can procure it at a small advance on New York cost to pay carriage.

A. F. C. BONTE & CO.,  
184 Walnut street.

60 BRLS. EXTRA BOURBON WHISKY  
for sale low.

EDWARD SCANLAN & CO., Druggists,  
North-east corner Fourth and Main sts.

Corks.  
10 BALES EXTRA BOTTLE CORKS;  
20 Bales Extra Bottle Corks, at 17

EDWARD SCANLAN & CO., Druggists,  
North-east corner Fourth and Main sts.

Genuine Latakia Tobacco.

THE CELEBRATED TURKISH SMOK-  
ING TOBACCO, manufactured for the London

Clubs; well suited for Meerschaum Pipes. For sale  
low.

EDWARD SCANLAN & CO., Druggists,  
North-east corner Fourth and Main sts.

LANE & BODLEY,  
MANUFACTURERS OF

Wood-Working Machinery,  
AND CIRCULAR SAW MILLS,

Corner John and Water sts., Cincinnati, O.

A CERTAIN CURE  
For Rheumatism and Gout,

By the use of  
BROWN MFG. CO.

To be had at  
Dealers in Perfumery and Fancy Goods.

246 Main street.

Life Insurance.

PERSONS GOING TO THE  
GOLD REGIONS

INSURED IN THE  
New York Life Insurance Company,

Charter Oak Life Insurance Company.

BY—  
SAML. E. MACK & Co., Ag'ts,

35 West Third st., Cincinnati.

OUTCALT'S ELASTIC METALLIC  
ROOFING. Prices reduced to suit the times!

The cheapest and best Metal Roofing now used. It  
can be put on at any season of the year; is prepared  
ready for laying, and can be applied by any person  
with ordinary mechanical skill, rapidly, as no solder  
is required. Orders for Roofing from any part of  
the country, promptly filled. CALDWELL & CO.,  
125 Columbia street, Cincinnati, O.

MEDICATED STRENGTHENING  
PLASTERS.—These Plasters are excellent  
remedies in cases of pain and weakness in the side,  
arm, sprains, bruises, and kidney or spinal affec-  
tions. The Archa, Galbanum, Pitch, Poor Man's,  
and other Plasters, are manufactured of various  
kinds, on old or new paper, by S. A. GRIFITH,  
and supplied to dealers and druggists  
on good terms as our manufacturers in the U. S. A.

## NEW ADVERTISEMENTS.

### HARDWARE.

LATIMER, COLBURN & LUPTON,

WHOLESALE

Hardware Merchants,

55 PEARL STREET,  
CINCINNATI.

HAVING RECEIVED IMPORTANT AD-  
DITIONS of both English and American  
Goods, and our stock being now complete,  
we are prepared to offer to Hardware Dealers and the  
Country Trade special inducements and advantages—  
the inducements of reduced prices, and the advan-  
tages of a varied and complete stock, including various  
Goods which can not be procured on the same terms  
elsewhere.

Our arrangements as Agents for the sale of

HOE & CO.'S SAWS;  
Casey, Clark & Co.'s Planes;

—AND—  
NAYLOR & CO.'S STEELS,

Are permanently established; and having exclusive  
control as their Agents in Cincinnati, our customers  
enjoy the benefit of purchasing their goods here at  
factory prices.

We are prepared to examine stock and prices, and  
will execute all orders entrusted to us with precision  
and dispatch.

LATIMER, COLBURN & LUPTON,  
Wholesale Hardware Merchants,  
No. 55 PEARL STREET.

QUEEN CITY

Fire and Marine Insurance Company.

CAPITAL, \$100,000.

Office—No. 15 Public Landing,  
Between Main and Sycamore streets, (up stairs.)

CINCINNATI, O.

DIRECTORS.—Joseph Draper, Dr. J. L. Vetter, Wm. A. Stewart, Washington D. Hertz, George H. Dixon,  
David A. Powell, O. S. Lovell, F. Steinger, Daniel  
McLaren, Richard Ashcraft, H. D. Thomas, M. F.  
Crisler, Anne Conklin, Wm. H. Dwyer.

A. B. LANE, Sec'y. J. H. DRAPEL, Pres't.  
april-1m HIRSH PUGH, Surveyor.

SOMETHING NEW.—PROF. G. W. WILL-  
COX, a graduate of Dr. Chamberlain's, is now in-  
troducing his system of Electrostatic Treatment for  
all kinds of long-standing Scurvy and Chronic Dis-  
eases. This system of treatment is not based upon  
chemicals, but is founded upon the laws of elec-  
tricity, and is entirely new. It is a simple and effec-  
tive cure for the following diseases, viz: Pulmonary  
Consumption, early and middle stages; Torpid Liver,  
Dyspepsia, Bile, Rheumatism, Brain Disease,  
Scurvy, Scalds, Catarrh, Deafness, Amnesia,  
Neuralgia, Spinal Diseases, Constipation, Men-  
strual Disorders, Piles, Diseases Incident to females,  
Asthma, Bronchitis, general derangement of the  
Nervous System, Contracted Muscles, Stiffness,  
Chronic and Nervous Complaints generally. Office,  
corner Hazen and Front streets, Seventeenth Ward,  
Cincinnati, O. Consultation free. ap1-1m

THE BROWN

MANUFACTURING CO.

ARE NOW RECEIVING A FINE AND  
large assortment of

Perfumery and Fancy Goods.

Persons wishing to purchase will please give us a  
call. No. 246 Main street. GEO. W. BROWN, Jr.  
Prof. W. HARRY DEPOSTER, Cor. Sec'y.

Orders for Patent Medicines promptly attended  
to.

DR. AYER'S

LUNG AND THROAT

INSTITUTE.

No. 30 WEST FOURTH STREET.

The following letter is from one of our well known  
merchants. Whatever he indorses must be of sterling  
value. It is well worth reading:

Dr. Ayer's Lung and Throat Institute, February 13, 1859.

About a month ago I called at Dr. Ayer's "Throat  
and Lung Institute," 30 West Fourth street, for treat-  
ment of my chronic and chronic Lung and Throat  
Inflammation. The treatment was so successful, and the  
cure so complete, that I was enabled to resume my  
usual avocations, and that was in fact, the result of  
this cure. I have since been cured of my chronic  
Lung and Throat Inflammation, and I have no more  
trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of any  
kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have no  
more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of  
any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have  
no more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble  
of any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I  
have no more trouble of any kind. I have no more  
trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of any  
kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have no  
more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of  
any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have  
no more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble  
of any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I  
have no more trouble of any kind. I have no more  
trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of any  
kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have no  
more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of  
any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have  
no more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble  
of any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I  
have no more trouble of any kind. I have no more  
trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of any  
kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have no  
more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of  
any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have  
no more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble  
of any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I  
have no more trouble of any kind. I have no more  
trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of any  
kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have no  
more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of  
any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have  
no more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble  
of any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I  
have no more trouble of any kind. I have no more  
trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of any  
kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have no  
more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of  
any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have  
no more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble  
of any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I  
have no more trouble of any kind. I have no more  
trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of any  
kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have no  
more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of  
any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have  
no more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble  
of any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I  
have no more trouble of any kind. I have no more  
trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of any  
kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have no  
more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of  
any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have  
no more trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble  
of any kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I  
have no more trouble of any kind. I have no more  
trouble of any kind. I have no more trouble of any  
kind. I have no more trouble of any kind. I have